

# **Common Deficiencies for Study Sample Reanalysis in Pharmacokinetic Bioequivalence Studies Submitted in Abbreviated New Drug Applications (ANDAs)**

**Tian Ma, Ph.D.**

Office of Bioequivalence (OB)

Office of Generic Drugs

CDER | U.S. FDA

*Disclaimer: This presentation reflects the views of the authors and should not be construed to represent FDA's views or policies.*

# Learning Objectives

- Summarize common reasons/codes of study sample reanalysis in pharmacokinetic (PK) studies
- Understand common deficiencies for study sample reanalysis in PK studies
- Identify ways to avoid some of these deficiencies

# Study Sample Reanalysis

- Study sample reanalyses are commonly observed in PK studies in ANDAs.

Table 9 Reanalysis of Study Samples<sup>7</sup>

Reason why assay was repeated	Study No. Additional information in Volume(s), Page(s)							
	Number of samples reanalyzed				Number of recalculated values used after reanalysis			
	Actual number		% of total assays		Actual number		% of total assays	
	T	R	T	R	T	R	T	R
Pharmacokinetic <sup>8</sup>								
Reason A (e.g. below LOQ)								
Reason B								
Reason C								
Etc.								
Total								

<sup>7</sup> Provide a separate table for each analyte measured for each in vivo study.

<sup>8</sup> If no repeats were performed for pharmacokinetic reasons, insert "0.0."

<https://www.fda.gov/media/75081/download>

- Many deficiencies related to study sample reanalyses can be avoided.

# Examples of Common Reasons/Codes of Study Sample Reanalysis



- Internal standard (IS) variation
- Incomplete analysis (e.g., instrument malfunction and lost sample during analysis)
- Above upper limit of quantification (ULOQ)
- Poor chromatogram
- PK repeat
- Positive pre-dose
- Truncated calibration curve (CC)
- Rejected runs

# Common Deficiencies for Study Sample Reanalysis

- General deficiencies (i.e., not specific to a repeat code)
- Code-specific deficiencies

# Common Study Sample Reanalysis Deficiencies – General Deficiencies



- Incomplete or no numerical raw data
- Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) related deficiencies
  - Missing or incomplete study sample reanalysis-related SOP(s)
  - SOP(s) provided not current at the time of study sample reanalysis
  - SOP effective date not specified
  - Missing guideline for how final values should be reported for reanalyzed samples
  - SOP has discrepancies



# Common Study Sample Reanalysis

## Deficiencies – General Deficiencies (cont'd)

- Missing information on whether rejected samples were reanalyzed or reinjected
- Inconsistencies between
  - Study sample reanalysis summary table
  - Study report
  - Bioanalytical report
  - SAS dataset
  - Repeat criteria in SOP(s)



# Common Deficiencies for Study Sample Reanalysis

- General deficiencies (i.e., not specific to a repeat code)
- Code-specific deficiencies

Some repeat codes are more deficiency-prone compared to others

# Common Deficiencies Related to Poor Chromatograms



- Missing rejected and/or accepted chromatograms
- Chromatograms provided not legible
- Missing detailed reasons for poor chromatograms

# Common Deficiencies Related to Incomplete Analysis



- Examples of incomplete analysis
  - instrument malfunction, lost sample during analysis
- No detailed reason or supporting documentation for reanalysis
- Repeat criteria not objective or clear

# Common Deficiencies Related to Sample Concentration Above ULOQ

- Reported sample concentration not supported by the dilution integrity validated in the pre-study method validation
- Missing information on dilution factor and/or dilution medium
- Missing explanation when reanalyzed sample concentration (after correcting for dilution factor) was markedly lower than ULOQ

# Common Deficiencies Related to PK Repeat



- Sometimes reported as, for example,
  - “*anomalous value*”
  - “*unexpected value*”
  - “*confirming value*”
  - “*investigational report*”
  - “*sponsor-requested sample reanalysis*”
- Repeat criteria not pre-defined or not objective

# Common Deficiencies Related to Rejected Runs



- Missing detailed reason or supporting information for run rejection
- Run rejection criteria not clearly defined or not objective
- Did not provide chromatograms for runs that were rejected due to e.g.
  - Gradual deterioration in analyte and IS peak
  - Poor chromatograms

# Common Deficiencies Related to IS Variation and Positive Pre-Dose



- IS Variation
  - Lack of a list of original IS response together with mean IS response
- Positive Pre-Dose
  - Missing explanation for presence of positive pre-dose in Period 1

# Summary

- Study sample reanalysis accounts for an important portion of the bioanalytical section in ANDAs.
- Deficiencies related to study sample reanalysis are commonly identified.
- Many of these deficiencies can be avoided.
  - Provide complete information
  - Clearly and objectively pre-define the repeat criteria under each repeat code in the SOP(s)



# Challenge Question #1

**Which of the following statements is true:**

- A. Only accepted chromatograms need to be submitted.
- B. For samples reanalyzed due to concentration above ULOQ, dilution factor and dilution medium used should be specified in the bioanalytical report.
- C. If there is no study sample reanalysis, then raw data does not need to be submitted.
- D. Study sample repeat criteria should all be specified in one SOP.

# Challenge Question #2



**Which of the following statements is NOT true?**

- A. The study sample reanalysis-related SOP(s) provided should be the version current at the time of study sample reanalysis.
- B. The repeat criteria under each repeat code should be clearly and objectively pre-defined in the SOP(s).
- C. For above ULOQ samples, the reported sample concentration should be supported by the dilution integrity validated in the pre-study method validation.
- D. As long as I do not report a sample reanalysis as “PK repeat” in the ANDA submission, then it will never be considered as a PK repeat.

# Acknowledgement

- Rong Wang, Ph.D., Pharm.D. (OGD/OB)
- Hee Sun Chung, Ph.D. (OGD/OB)
- Utpal Munshi, Ph.D. (OGD/OB)
- Bing V. Li (OGD/OB)
- Ethan Stier (OGD/OB)
- Nilufer Tampal (OGD/OB)

